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Organizations suffer not only from loss of productivity but also the knowledge that these critical employees possess, namely, industry knowledge, competitive strengths and weaknesses, products, customers and processes. Thus, organizations need to access internal processes and capabilities to gain their competitive competencies by retaining their key talents to strive for company’s success.

Organizational commitment and performance is positively affected by the firm’s decision on investing in human capital (Harold & James, 2007). This is because highly committed employees have stronger desire to remain with the company and strive for company’s success as they are considered the organization’s competitive advantages. Nonetheless, social changes such as changes in the workplace in terms of age, gender, job expectation or even family structures have significant impacts on the nature and operations of organizations, especially in the human resource department. This new generation employees appear to be less committed to their work and their respective organization due to the social and expectation changes (Perryer and Jordan, 2008). Therefore, organizations need to study new trend of meeting employees’ expectation such as extending the organizational stakeholders’ care to increase organizational commitment amongst employees.

Reviewing both Organizational Support Theory and Stakeholders Theory, it was found that to date, there is limited research done on the employee’s perceptions of organizational stakeholders’ care on organizational commitment. Therefore, this study attempts to identify...
categories of organizational stakeholders’ care provided to the organizational stakeholders that may have an influence on organizational commitment and to examine the relationship among these categories of organizational stakeholders’ care and organizational commitment.

1. Literature review

1.1. Organizational commitment. Bateman and Strasser (1984) defined organizational commitment as “multidimensional in nature, involving an employee’s loyalty to organization, willingness to exert effort on behalf of the organization, degree of goal and value congruency with the organization, and desire to maintain membership”. Porter et al. (1974) discussed three components of organizational commitment as being “strong belief in and acceptance of the organization’s goals, willingness to exert considerable effort on behalf of the organization, and definite desire to maintain organizational membership”. In other words, commitment is defined as the bond between an individual and the organization (Muthuveloo & Che Rose, 2005). This study adopts the fundamental definition of commitment that lies on the notion that the desire an employee has to remain employed with his organization (Meyer and Allen, 1997).

1.2. Social exchange theory. Social exchange exists when the interaction between individuals creates the sense of obligation reciprocate to each other (Blau, 1989). Key assumptions defined under this theory include: human relationships are formed in exchange for costs and benefits; individuals involved in the relationship seek to maximize the benefits they are going to get from the exchange to fulfill their basic needs; individuals seek to balance the cost and rewards from the social exchange. If the organization does not sincerely show commitment to its employees, the employees will not show commitment to their organization (Zakaria, 2004). Similarly, Zinta et al. (2011) found positive relationship between perceived organizational support and organizational commitment. This study adopts and extends the research done by Al-bdour et al. (2010) on the internal corporate social responsibilities practices and organizational commitment using social exchange theory.

1.3. Organizational stakeholders’ care. Daniel and Gregory (2002) defined organizational care as the principles that focus on meeting employee’s needs, appreciate their contributions to the organization and promote their best interests. These are derived from the role of an “ethics of care” that an organization responds to its member’s needs and the development of its member’s healthy social-psychological needs. Muthuveloo and Teoh (2014) highlighted that ethical concerns should be addressed in ensuring a sustainable business organization. Organizations’ care giving practices vary from each other and may change over time as regulation (change of political or environmental rules) or workforce changes (change of employees’ needs). It has been found that organizational stakeholders’ care has a similar key aspect to perceived organizational support (POS), which refers to the employees’ belief about organization values, contributions and cares about their well-being (Eisenberger et al., 1986). Stawiski et al. (2011) defined corporate citizenship as the business values created as part of the organizations responsibility to care for the well-being of all stakeholders, including the environment. This study investigates the relationship between organizational stakeholders’ care to their key stakeholders, comprising employees, customers, suppliers, communities, society and environment.

1.3.1. Organizational stakeholder care on employees. Employees have been identified as any organization’s key assets (Accenture, 2001). Previous researchers found that employer’s ability to fulfil the employees’ personal career aspirations (Lew, 2010; Weng et al., 2010), employees’ career development (Bashir & Ramay, 2008), and empowerment practices (Humborstad & Perry, 2011) were all correlated with employees’ commitment. When the promises related to personal growth and opportunity, and rewards are breached, an employee will be more likely to report negative feelings and attitudes toward the organization; thus, lower levels of commitment will drive their intentions to leave the organization (Phillips, 1997; Pollitt, 2012).

Empirical studies show that employees with high levels of both work-to-life and life-to-work conflict tend to exhibit lower levels of job satisfaction and organizational commitment (Kossek & Ozeki, 1998). By enabling employees to schedule their time in order to better balance competing demands from work and from home (flexible working hour) has proven to be associated with lower levels of work-to-life conflict, increase in organizational commitment, and reduce in turnover intentions (Andreson, Coffey, & Byerly, 2002; Halpern, 2005). Furthermore, employees who perceive their organization is supportive of their well-being and health (such as private medical insurance and health cash plan) (Lew, 2010; Pollitt, 2012) and treats them ethically, will demonstrate higher commitment towards their organization.
1.3.2. Organizational stakeholder care on extended family. With the rising proportions of dual earner families, increased female labour force participation and the growing number of aged dependents, a higher proportion of employees have family responsibilities (Hall & Liddicoat, 2005). Studies found that employees who benefit from childcare centres, referral services, flexible work hours, financial assistance with childcare and other family-supportive practices provided by the organization report higher levels of commitment and lower turnover rate to the organization (Kossek and Nichol, 1992; Hofferth, 1996; Roehling and Moen, 2001; Pollitt, 2012). Zacher & Winter (2011) found that perceived organizational support provided to elderly is beneficial for employees’ work engagement and it could lead to an increase in organizational commitment. Organizations that provide flexible work schedule to their employees, which could let them balance their work life conflicts, are found to have a significant organizational commitment (Bashir & Ramay, 2008; Balmforth and Gardener, 2006; Siegel et al., 2005).

1.3.3. Organizational stakeholders’ care on CSR. With the widespread attention from the national media as well as the continuous pressure from stakeholders, organizations have begun to involve in the corporate social and environment responsibilities. Research found that there is an impact of socially responsible behaviour on organizational commitment whereby the external CSR is positively related to organizational commitment (Turker, 2009). Maignan et al. (1999) found that market-oriented and humanistic cultures lead to proactive corporate citizenship, which, in turn, is associated with improved levels of employees’ commitment, customers’ loyalty, and business performance. CSR may have positive effects on employees’ motivation and morale as well as their commitment and loyalty to the firm (Viswesvaran et al., 1998; Schoenberg, 2007). If employees perceive their organization as being a socially responsible member of the society by engaging in CSR activities, the senses of belongingness to this favorable reputable organization can enhance employees’ self-concepts and social identity which in turn have influence on employees’ affective commitment (Stawiski et al., 2011; Kenexa, 2010; Alniacik et al., 2011).

Researchers also found that internal CSR dimensions such as health and safety, workplace diversity, human rights, training and education are significantly related to organizational commitment (Ebeid, 2010; Al-}

bdour et al., 2010). CRS activities are highly related to the organizational commitment which results in higher employees’ productivities (Ali et al., 2010). Zientara et al. (2015) found that CSR experiences are positively associated with satisfaction and commitment. Likewise, organizational commitment, unlike job satisfaction, was linked to work engagement.

1.3.4. Organizational stakeholders’ care on suppliers. The organization responsibility is becoming more important with respect to the supply chain management (Boyd et al., 2007). Thus, it is important for an organization to improve commitment and trust within buyer-supplier relationships to achieve the level of interaction and knowledge exchange necessary for high-performing supply chain relationships. Top management commitment is critical in building stronger supplier relationships and activities such as supplier training and supplier recognition because this will help to enhance the relationship with the suppliers (Kimball & Stanley, 2007; Krause & Ellram, 1997). Organizations believe that collaboration among different suppliers and other partners can create common standards and shared solutions, helping to advance organizational responsibilities for everyone’s benefit (Alessandra & Tiziana, 2011). Employees associate their social identity with their organization’s reputation in the marketplace which, in turn, impacts their commitment towards their organization (Turker, 2009; Alniacik et al., 2011; Rego et al., 2007).

1.3.5. Organizational stakeholders’ care on customers. Employees’ perceptions toward their organization will be affected by the level of commitment their organization has toward its customers (Turker, 2009). If an organization pays attention to its customers by providing high quality products or accurate information about its activities, employees may also be proud of being a member of this organization (Ebeid, 2010). Since members of a social category can share their success or failure and the positive feedback received from satisfied customers, it would be one of the most effective ways of measuring organizational success.

2. Theoretical framework and hypotheses development

As presented in the theoretical framework shown in Figure 1, this study seeks to identify the types of organizational stakeholders’ care that is provided by an organization and also its relationship toward employees’ organizational commitment.
Previous studies support the social exchange view that employees’ commitment to the organization is strongly influenced by their perceptions about the organization’s support or commitment to them (Eisenberger et al., 1986; Al-bdour et al., 2010). When employees perceive their organizations have invested in employees’ personal development, they will demonstrate higher job satisfaction, more commitment and less intention to leave the organization (Lee & Bruvold, 2003). Therefore, it is hypothesized:

$H_1$: Organizational commitment is positively and significantly influenced by organizational stakeholders’ care provided to employees, extended family, societal & environmental, suppliers and customers.

$H_{1a}$: Organizational commitment is positively and significantly influenced by organizational stakeholders’ care provided to employees.

$H_{1b}$: Organizational commitment is positively and significantly influenced by organizational stakeholders’ care provided to extended family.

$H_{1c}$: Organizational commitment is positively and significantly influenced by organizational stakeholders’ care provided to societal & environmental.

$H_{1d}$: Organizational commitment is positively and significantly influenced by organizational stakeholders’ care provided to suppliers.

$H_{1e}$: Organizational commitment is positively and significantly influenced by organizational stakeholders’ care provided to customers.

3. Research methodology and data analysis

Quantitative research design was employed to validate the theoretical framework and the proposed hypotheses as recommended by Creswell (2002). The setting of this research is non-contrived and individual characteristics are the basis of this research description; therefore, considering individuals as the unit of analysis is found to be more suitable than group or organization (Babbie, 2007). Convenience sampling technique was first used to select the respondents who work in the state of Penang, followed by the networking sampling (snowball technique) to identify respondents from different industries within the state of Penang to participate in the survey questionnaire.

Pilot study was carried out similar to main study data collection process on a small group of 30 people to test for biased items and the reliability of the questionnaires. The result of pilot study showed that the stability and consistency of the variables in the study could measure the concept quite well with reliability Cronbach’s alpha value more than 0.60. Minor changes were made to the questionnaire before the final set of questionnaires was distributed for the main study data collection. A total of 400 survey questionnaires were distributed and used for research analysis.

The questionnaire contains a total of 67 questions: Section A consists of 21 questions on organizational commitment, Section B consists of 35 questions on organizational stakeholders’ care and Section C consists of 11 questions on demographic data. All responses were reported on a five-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 to 5 where “1” stands for strongly disagree to “5” which means strongly agree used to indicate respondents’ level of agreement to each survey item. Five-point Likert scale was chosen in this study because the survey questionnaires are easy to understand, very straightforward and not too technical for the respondents to answer. The same measurement scale was also employed by Turker (2009) in survey questionnaires on organizational commitment.

Items on organizational stakeholders’ care provided to employees were adapted from Eisenberger’s 36-item measure of perceived organizational support (Eisenberger et al., 1986) and were rephrased to
capture other benefits to align with the purpose of this study. Whereas items on organizational stakeholders’ care provided to employees’ extended family were adapted from multiple studies such as Eisenberger’s 36-item measure of perceived organizational support (Eisenberger et al., 1986), Kossek and Nichol (1992), items measured employees’ perceptions toward their organization’s action taken to care and protect the society and environment, suppliers and customers were adapted and modified from the research done by Ali et al., (2010) and Turker (2008) to suit it with the local context and the purpose of this research. Lastly, the three dimensions of commitment (affectional, normative and continuance) were adopted from Meyer & Allen’s (1990) Organizational Commitment Questionnaire (OCQ).

3.1. Respondents profile. A total of 400 questionnaires were distributed to the targeted sample population, of which 291 questionnaires were collected for a response rate of 72.75 percent. However, only 287 of the survey questionnaires or 71.75% were usable to run the analysis. This is in line with the statement made by Sekaran (2009) that sample size in between 30 to 500 would be sufficient for most of the studies. Among the 287 working adults who responded to this questionnaire, 55.7% were male and 44.3% were female. Majority of them were within the age group of 26 to 40 years. Besides, 54.4% of them were married while 44.3% were single. Majority of them were from the department of information technology and operations which constitute of 20.6% respectively. Moreover, 80.8% of the respondents worked in multinational companies and 10.8% of them were employed in the local organizations.

3.2. Factor analysis. 3.2.1. Organizational stakeholders’ care (IVs). By using SPSS version 16.0, principal components analysis with Varimax rotation was used to assess the dimensionality and uniqueness of the variable. Stopping rule was performed based on the rotated component matrix rather than using anti-image correlation matrix. As all diagonal values on the anti-image correlation matrix were above 0.5, no items were dropped from using this matrix. In the first four rounds of factor analysis output, items that had first loading of less than 0.5 and the cross-loading of values more than 0.35 were dropped. Items which had cross-loading of more than 0.35 were dropped from the subsequent factor analysis since they caused ambiguity and could not properly measure the original construct. At the end of the factor analysis, there were total of eight items which were dropped from this study. The final results found on the factor analysis for organizational stakeholders’ care dimension show that the distribution of the values in this study is good for conducting a satisfactory factor analysis with the KMO value of 0.86 which exceeds the rule of thumb value of 0.5. As shown in Table 1, the significance level of Bartlett’s test of Sphericity value is less than 0.05 indicating the fitness of the model.

Table 1. KMO & Bartlett’s test on organizational stakeholder care dimension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Before items deleted</th>
<th>After items deleted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KMO</td>
<td>Barlett’s test of sphericity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chi-square</td>
<td>Sig.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>588.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended family</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1095.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>710.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppliers</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>763.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customers</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>727.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the remaining items on the anti-image correlation matrix have the diagonal values ranging from 0.77 to 0.93 which meet the minimum requirement of value above 0.5. Five common components with Eigen values above one were extracted that have cumulative percentage of variance explained by all the components of 62.2%. Investigation of the loaded items revealed that the first factor is suppliers, second is extended family, third is CSR, fourth is customers and fifth is employees.

3.2.2. Organizational commitment (DV). Exploratory factor analysis was performed on the items of the dependent variable (OC). At the end of the fourth round of factor analysis, a total of 4 items were dropped one at the time from this analysis. The dropping rule is also based on the rotated component matrix whereby items with higher cross-loading over 0.35 were dropped as these items could not measure the original construct in this study. Stopping rule was not based on the anti-image correlation matrix because all diagonal values on the anti-image correlation matrix were above 0.5; therefore, no items were dropped from using this matrix. The final set of factor analysis result shows that the survey data were good for factor analysis and the fitness of the model with the KMO value of 0.85 and Bartlett’s test of Sphericity value shows significance level with less than 0.05 respectively as per Table 2.
Table 2. KMO & Bartlett’s test on organizational commitment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Before items deleted</th>
<th>After items deleted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KMO</td>
<td>Bartlett’s test of sphericity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chi-square</td>
<td>Sig.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational commitment</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2187.630</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the remaining items on the anti-image correlation matrix have the diagonal values ranging from 0.71 to 0.92 which meet the minimum requirement of value above 0.5. Three common components with Eigen values above one were extracted that have cumulative percentage of variance explained by all the components of 52.46%. Investigation of the loaded items revealed that the first factor is affective commitment, second one is normative commitment and the third is continuance commitment.

3.2. Reliability analysis. Reliability analysis is used to measure the consistency and stability of the data. Cronbach’s alpha coefficient was the measure used to test the reliability coefficient of each construct in this study. As suggested by Sekaran (2009), value in the range of 0.70 is considered acceptable and values above 0.80 are considered good. Table 3 shows the improvement of Cronbach’s alpha coefficient values for all the variables before and after items were dropped. Data collected from this survey are considered good and consistent as the values are all above 0.7. This also could explain that the survey questionnaires were well-framed and the respondents were able to understand the questions properly and answer them in a meaningful way.

Table 3. Reliability of scales and Cronbach’s alpha variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Before items deleted</th>
<th>After items deleted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of item</td>
<td>Cronbach’s Alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended family</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Descriptive statistics. The mean values of each variable were computed using SPSS version 16.0 and then further categorized into three levels of responses: mean values less than 2.0 were categorized as “low”; mean values in between 2.0 and 3.5 were categorized as “moderate” and mean values above 3.5 were categorized as “high”. Table 4 shows that respondents from the state of Penang focus more on the organizations’ care provided to their customers (mean = 3.97, S.D. = 0.59), followed by CSR (mean = 3.74, S.D. = 0.55) and the employees themselves (mean = 3.64, S.D. = 0.68). Moderate attention was paid to suppliers (mean = 3.50, S.D. = 0.62) and low attention to their extended family (mean = 2.00, S.D. = 0.61). Whereas the respondents associate higher level of organizational commitment with the cost of leaving the company with highest continuance commitment (mean = 3.37, S.D. = 0.71), this was followed by those with emotional attachment to their organization with affective commitment (mean = 3.21, S.D. = 0.71) and lastly, normative commitment (mean = 2.80, S.D. = 0.68), feeling obligated to remain with the organization. In summary, respondents in this study demonstrated moderate commitment (mean = 3.13, S.D. = 0.53) toward the organizations they are working in the state of Penang.

Table 4. Descriptive statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items (N = 287)</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. deviation</th>
<th>Level of response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employees</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended family</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate social responsibility</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppliers</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective commitment</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative commitment</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuance commitment</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational commitment</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. Correlation analysis. Table 5 shows the correlations among organizational stakeholders’ care dimensions ranging from 0.025 to 0.501 and organizational commitment dimensions ranging from 0.046 to 0.483. The results indicate there is a relatively high independence between each study variable as none of the variables is ranged higher than 0.50 and no multicollinearity exists among the study variables in
this research. All five dimensions of organizational stakeholders’ care have positive correlation with the three dimensions of organizational commitment except the negative correlation which exists between organizational stakeholders’ care provided to the extended family and continuance commitment.

Table 5. Pearson correlation between variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Employee</th>
<th>Extended family</th>
<th>CSR</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Customer</th>
<th>Affective commitment</th>
<th>Normative commitment</th>
<th>Continuance commitment</th>
<th>Organizational commitment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended family</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>0.380**</td>
<td>-0.180**</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplier</td>
<td>0.468**</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>0.429**</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer</td>
<td>0.311**</td>
<td>-0.076</td>
<td>0.392**</td>
<td>0.501**</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective commitment</td>
<td>0.480**</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.243**</td>
<td>0.432**</td>
<td>0.310**</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative commitment</td>
<td>0.232**</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.198**</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.483**</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuance commitment</td>
<td>0.147*</td>
<td>-0.124*</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.201**</td>
<td>0.130*</td>
<td>0.317**</td>
<td>0.228**</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ** – correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed); * – correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed); N = 287.

3.5. Multiple regression analysis. This study ensures that the five common assumptions (outliers, normality of error term, independence of error term, multicollinearity and linearity) were examined when multiple regression analysis (MRA) was conducted to test the four proposed hypotheses.

The result in Table 6 clearly illustrates that all dimensions of organizational stakeholders’ care are positively correlated to organizational commitment ($F = 28.996$, $p < 0.01$); hence, hypothesis I is supported. This result shows that the model fits well with the significant value of $F$ statistic of 28.996 with the $R$ square of 0.378. In other words, the variables employees, extended family, CSR, suppliers and customers could explain 37.8% of the variance in organizational commitment. Among the five dimensions, only employees ($\beta = 0.421$, $p < 0.01$) and suppliers ($\beta = 0.263$, $p < 0.01$) are significantly associated with organizational commitment. Therefore, hypotheses 1a and 1d are supported in this model whereas hypotheses 1b, 1c and 1e are not supported.

Table 6. MRA of organizational stakeholder care and organizational commitment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employees**</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>6.717</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended family</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>0.463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppliers**</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>3.858</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customers</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted $R^2$</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>28.996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. $F$</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$. 

4. Discussion

The findings of this study revealed that among the organizational stakeholders’ care provided to employees, extended family, CSR, suppliers and customers, employees and suppliers appeared to have a positive and significant relationship with the overall organizational commitment.

When employees perceive their organization to nurture and support employees’ learning, they will develop a strong commitment toward it (Baek-kyoo, 2010). Pollitt (2012) found improvement in employees’ organizational commitment when organizations provide better remuneration and compensation package for better employees’ health and well-being. Organizations give their commitment to employees in terms of employees’ well-being, financial support and job security that fulfill employees’ basic requirements; in return employees show their commitment to their respective organizations (Mowday, 1998).

Organizational stakeholders’ care provided to suppliers has positive and significant influence on organizational commitment ($\beta = 0.26$, $p < 0.01$). Suppliers are one of the key stakeholders in supply chain and are very critical for MNCs to maintain good relationships with their stakeholders (Chiara & Spena, 2011). Among the 80% of the respondents who work in MNCs perceived their organizations treat their suppliers ethically, fairly and are committed to establish longer relationship with their suppliers. As supported by social identity theory, employees feel proud to be a member of a favorable and reputable organization; in return, employees develop higher organizational commitment as part of the social exchange processes (Turker, 2009).

Interestingly, this study did not find any significant relationship between organizational stakeholders’ care provided to employees’ extended family and organizational commitment. Organizational stakeholders’ care provided to their extended family such as spouse and kids would not be applicable to 44.3%
of the respondents who are still single. Malaysian society has changed over the past few decades, there is a decrease in population growth rate in Malaysia as people have begun to marry at older ages, have children later in their marriages and also have fewer children per family (Phua, 2000). This could support the findings whereby 60.3% of the respondents are aged 35 years and below; even if they were married and still considered at the early marriage stage, stated that facilities provided by their organizations about childcare would not have any influence on their organizational commitment towards their organizations.

The insignificant relationship between organizational commitment and the organizational stakeholders’ care provided to society and environment could be supported by the study by ACCA Malaysia (2004). Accordingly, Malaysian major companies lack awareness about corporate social responsibility; and the form of CSR commitment from the majority of Malaysian companies is in terms of charitable giving. Similar conclusion has been drawn by Lo and Yap (2011) that Malaysian companies are still far from the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) expectations in terms of CSR involvement.

Respondents in this study do not specifically fancy about the type of organizational stakeholders’ care provided to its customer as it is considered a social norm that an organization must treat its customers with dignity, ethically and responsibly. Furthermore, respondents in this study do not have direct working relationship with customers as only 10% of them work in sales and marketing department and only 5% work as customers’ support agents. Hence, the influence of social identity is not that strong compared to the suppliers.

5. Implications

The study findings bring insightful information to the organizations in Malaysia, especially for Human Resource managers to devise strategies around three stakeholders (employees, extended family and suppliers) to enhance employees’ commitment towards their organizations. Priority should be given to the organizational stakeholders’ care provided to employees and suppliers as both appeared to have the most influence on all three dimensions of organizational commitment. HR managers could look into providing employees with more comprehensive support in terms of education, revising employees’ remuneration and compensation packages and also implementing flexible working hours. Besides, organizations should extend their organizational stakeholders’ care to their suppliers. This is because employees’ social identity is highly associated with this group of people and different attention paid to these stakeholders would impact employees’ perceptions towards their organization (Abraham et al., 2006). Perceived corporate reputation has found to help HR managers improve their employees’ performance, job satisfaction and lower their turnover intentions (Alniacik et al., 2011). According to the social exchange theory, when employees receive social and economic benefits from their organizations, they will repay their organization with positive behaviour and develop higher organizational commitment toward their organization (Al-bdour et al., 2010; Lee & Bruvold, 2003; Lew, 2010). Highly committed employees will have low turnover intentions and absentees which result in better organization’s financial performance (Fisher et al., 2010; Nehmeh, 2009).

In addition, this research contributes to the area of study related to categories of extended care provided by the organizations that has the greatest impact on the organizational commitment which were found limited in research literature. Researchers may reference this study and further investigate other stakeholder groups that might have an impact on organizational commitment.

For policymakers, such as government bodies, this study suggests that the government should enforce Malaysian companies to disclose their CSR activities and to create CSR awareness among the employees. CSR awareness is important to ensure employees believe that participating in CSR activity is a responsibility of a good citizen, that could inspire them to work towards more harmonious working environment which is human and environmental friendly.

Conclusion

The findings of this study revealed that among all the organizational stakeholders’ care provided to employees, extended family, CSR, suppliers and customers, employees and suppliers were found to be positively and significantly associated with organizational commitment and all its dimensions, namely affective, normative and continuance commitment. Whereas extended family was found to be positively and significantly associated with the normative commitment, on the other hand, it was found to be negatively and significantly associated with continuance commitment.

In conclusion, employees repay their organization in the form of commitment when they receive some form of economic or social benefits from their organizations. In addition, perceived corporate reputation was found to have a direct relationship with employees’ social identity and organizational commitment; whereby employees feel proud to be a
member of a favorable and reputable organization and thus increase their commitment toward their organization. Organizational stakeholders’ care provided to CSR and customers were found to have no significant impact on the organizational commitment in this context.
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