"Impulse buying behavior among female shoppers: Exploring the effects of selected store environment elements"

	Vinish P. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5009-33 Prakash Pinto https://orcid.org/0000-0001-816	
AUTHORS	lqbal Thonse Hawaldar (b) https://orcid.org/0000	
Actions	R https://publons.com/researcher/1456475/igba	
	Slima Pinto https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4890-	
ARTICLE INFO	Vinish P., Prakash Pinto, Iqbal Thonse Hawalda Impulse buying behavior among female shopper selected store environment elements. <i>Innovative</i> doi:10.21511/im.16(2).2020.05	s: Exploring the effects of
DOI	http://dx.doi.org/10.21511/im.16(2).2020.05	
RELEASED ON	Thursday, 28 May 2020	
RECEIVED ON	Tuesday, 21 April 2020	
ACCEPTED ON	Wednesday, 27 May 2020	
LICENSE	This work is licensed under a Creative Common License	s Attribution 4.0 International
JOURNAL	"Innovative Marketing"	
ISSN PRINT	1814-2427	
ISSN ONLINE	1816-6326	
PUBLISHER	LLC "Consulting Publishing Company "Business	s Perspectives"
FOUNDER	LLC "Consulting Publishing Company "Business	s Perspectives"
0	D	
0		===

o [©]	G	===
NUMBER OF REFERENCES	NUMBER OF FIGURES	NUMBER OF TABLES
96	0	20

© The author(s) 2024. This publication is an open access article.





BUSINESS PERSPECTIVES



LLC "CPC "Business Perspectives" Hryhorii Skovoroda lane, 10, Sumy, 40022, Ukraine

www.businessperspectives.org

Received on: 21st of April, 2020 Accepted on: 27th of May, 2020 Published on: 28th of May, 2020

© Vinish P, Prakash Pinto, Iqbal Thonse Hawaldar, Slima Pinto, 2020

Vinish P, Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration, St Joseph Engineering College Mangaluru, Karnataka, India.

Prakash Pinto, Ph.D., Professor and Dean, Department of Business Administration, St Joseph Engineering College Mangaluru, Karnataka, India.

Iqbal Thonse Hawaldar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Accounting and Finance, College of Business Administration, Kingdom University, Bahrain. (Corresponding author)

Slima Pinto, Research Scholar, Department of Business Administration, St Joseph Engineering College Mangaluru, Karnataka, India.

@

This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license, which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Conflict of interest statement: Author(s) reported no conflict of interest

Vinish P (India), Prakash Pinto (India), Iqbal Thonse Hawaldar (Bahrain), Slima Pinto (India)

IMPULSE BUYING BEHAVIOR AMONG FEMALE SHOPPERS: EXPLORING THE EFFECTS OF SELECTED STORE ENVIRONMENT ELEMENTS

Abstract

This paper intends to analyze the impact of store layout, ambient factors, and employees on impulsive decision-making among female customers visiting the apparel outlets. The responses were collected through a single-stage mall intercept survey method using a structured questionnaire from 385 respondents in leading apparel stores in selected Tier I and Tier II cities in the state of Karnataka, India. The responses were analyzed using multiple regression analysis. Constructs such as store layout, ambience and employees were found to be significantly positively correlated with impulse buying behavior. The variables largely explain the variation in impulse buying under store ambiance. Except 'attention to the window display' and 'friendly staff' all other twelve variables considered in the study were found to have significant impact on the impulse buying behavior. Though store ambiance, well-structured layout, and pleasant shopping experience are essential determinants of customer satisfaction, the study results imply that the number of store staff and sales skills are critical aspects of impulse buying in the apparel business and true assets to the retail organization. Additionally, poor customer interaction, staff shortage, and high employee attrition could discourage the store's revenue generation.

Keywords impulse buying, apparel retailing, store layout, store

ambiance, employees, shopping, India

JEL Classification L67, L81, M31

INTRODUCTION

Impulse buying is a widely acknowledged phenomenon in retail research (Stern, 1962; Bellenger et al., 1978; Hoch & Loewenstein, 1991; Mattila & Wirtz, 2008; Badgaiyan & Verma, 2014; Cakanlar & Nguyen, 2019). A considerable amount of money is spent on marketing activities at retail stores to increase product familiarity, trail, and eventually increase the market share (Zhou & Wong, 2003). Men and women are equally susceptible to impulsive buying, but women are more subjected to post-purchase dissonance (Pandey, 2018). Previous research indicates that women and men distinctly relate to their material possessions (Dittmar, Beattie, & Friese, 1995). Men favor the objects that are of functional importance and denote personal accomplishments, while women tend to articulate social ties and value symbolic possessions (Adler, Csikszentmihalyi, & Rochberg-Halton, 1983; Wallendorf & Arnould, 1988).

The literature on impulse buying demoed the effect of situational factors in various shopping situations (Amos, Holmes, & Keneson, 2014; Badgaiyan & Verma, 2015). Store attributes such as lighting (Summers & Hebert, 2001), music (Dube & Morin, 2001; Chang et al., 2014), and

scent (Mattila & Wirtz, 2001) better display sensory stimuli and positive social influence (Amos et al., 2014), pricing and product characteristics (Kacen, Hess, & Walker, 2012; Muratore, 2016; Hawaldar, Ullal, Birau, & Spulbar, 2019) influence the holistic perception of servicescape and subsequent impulse buying decisions. However, the above studies have concentrated on American and European countries. The research shows that the consumption patterns of society, such as fashion, grooming, food, gifting, are subject to its culture (Schiffman & Wisenblit, 2015; Ullal & Hawaldar, 2018). Few studies have documented the impact of situational factors and intrinsic factors on impulse buying in Central India (Badgaiyan & Verma, 2014, 2015; Atulkar & Kesari, 2017). However, these studies are not gender-specific and addressed shoppers in general visiting supermarkets, hypermarkets, or shopping malls. Mitchell and Potenza (2015) suggested studying the "gender differences in addictions and impulsivity and their interactions". Moreover, apparel has often been quoted as a product category having product involvement, complexity, and uncertainty (Bloch, 1986; Goldsmith & Emmert, 1991; Kim, 2005; Radder & Huang, 2008).

With a higher number of women being the part of the workforce, and easy access to credit and discretionary income, retailers can't disregard the buying power of Indian women shoppers. Today's women are increasingly well informed about the multitude of brands, retailer services and are more demanding than ever. Hence, this study aims to understand the impulse buying behavior of female shoppers, specifically in the context of apparel retailing. In addition to making a significant contribution to the knowledge base, the study will aid the retailers to modulate the store environment and in-store service by understanding the women consumers' behavior.

1. LITERATURE REVIEW

Consumers buy apparel to communicate their value to others and, thus, are connected with the emotions (Kaiser, 1997; Kim, 2005). According to PTI Report (2019b), "The Indian apparel market, pegged at around USD 65 billion, is the second-largest retail market after food & grocery in India". Varying demographic characteristics, rising disposable income, changing taste of shoppers are compelling reasons for the growth of the organized retail industry (IBEF, 2019). The domestic apparel market is "expected to grow at nearly 11 percent CAGR in 2017-2021 period to reach a value of USD 85 billion by 2021" (PTI, 2019). The store layout, visual merchandising, brand availability, and loyalty points are important determinants for the choice of the apparel store (Prakash, Sahney, Kodati, & Shrivastava, 2017).

Store atmosphere can be explained as "an effort to design buying environments to produce specific emotional effects in the buyer that enhance his purchase probability" (Kotler, 1974). Prior research has examined the effects of in-store factors on the shopping experience and behavioral intentions such as background music (Milliman, 1986; Gopal, 2010; Morrison et al., 2011; Santos & Freire,

2013), lighting (Baker, Levy, & Grewal, 1992; Areni & Kim, 1994; Lin & Yoon, 2015), color (Bellizzi & Hite, 1992; Brengman, 2002), and store layout (Liu, Melara, & Arangarasan, 2007; V. Nirushan & K. Nirushan, 2017). Mattila and Wirtz (2008) deliberated the role of environmental factors in stimulating impulse purchases in a retail setting. Badgaiyan and Verma (2014) detailed the effect of intrinsic factors such as personality, impulse buying tendency, culture, materialism, and shopping enjoyment tendency on impulse buying behavior. Sharma, Sivakumaran, and Marshall (2010) established a positive association between consumer impulsiveness, optimum stimulation level and impulse buying and variety-seeking behavior. Herabadi, Verplanken, and Van Knippenberg (2009) argued in favor of hedonistic considerations of the shoppers offering a cognitive facet driving impulse purchase. A subsequent study by Sharma et al. (2014) indicates consumer impulsiveness as an outcome of the "three-dimensional construct consisting of cognitive, affective, and behavioral dimensions". Floh and Madlberger (2013) observed the significance of atmospheric cues such as store design, navigation, and content, on shopping enjoyment, and, ultimately, impulse buying behavior in the context of online stores. The study results suggested that attributes such as store de-

http://dx.doi.org/10.21511/im.16(2).2020.05

sign and navigation have a substantial impact on shopping enjoyment, while the in-store content did not have any effect. Undoubtedly, store atmosphere influences the store image and shoppers' attitude towards it (Chang et al., 2014).

1.1. Store layout

Modern consumers are increasingly seeking superior in-store experience as against product experience (Moore, 2006). The store layout boosts a positive shopping environment and consumer behavioral intentions (Lewison, 1994; Ullal & Hawaldar, 2018). Retail shelves, a key aspect of store layout, when designed efficiently, play a pivotal role in higher shopper satisfaction and improved relationships (Hwang, Choi, & Lee, 2005). Personal space acts as an impetus for the shopping experience. Besides, it influences the actual choices made inside the retail store (Bitner, 1992; Turley & Milliman, 2000; Ullal et al., 2020). The space between the objects can affect customer emotions and decisions (Williams & Bargh, 2008; Hawaldar et al. 2019). There is a tendency to approach or avoid the product or store (Singh et al., 2014). Levav and Zhu (2009) showed a positive correlation between perceived space (between the aisle) and their buying choices. Donovan et al. (1994) suggest that the store atmosphere's pleasure entices the shoppers to spend more time and money in the outlet than planned. Further, the result would vary according to the retail store, such as a grocery store and apparel outlet. Apparel stores predominantly follow the freeform layout (Lewison, 1994; Vrechopoulos, O'Keefe, Doukidis, & Siomkos, 2004). Therefore, the study presumes the freeflow store layout to have a constructive effect on impulse buying among female customers. The discussion leads us to the following hypothesis:

H1: The freeflow store layout encourages impulse buying behavior among female customers in apparel stores.

1.2. Store ambiance

The store environment is made of store design, lighting, color, air quality, music, and decoration (Yoo, Park, & MacInnis, 1998; Cottet, Lichtle, & Plichon, 2010), and the atmosphere persuades positive emotions and in-store customer behav-

ior (Lai & Chang, 2015; Ju & Ahn, 2016). Music is an easily controllable element of the atmosphere by way of varying the tempo and, hence, is an attractive ambient factor (Ding & Lin, 2012). Customers seemingly make an impulse purchase when fast music is played in the store (Ma, Liu, Li, & Chen, 2017).

Colors in the store environment have perceptual attributes that affect the customer's perception and attract footfalls towards a retail display (Bellizzi, Crowley, & Hasty, 1983). Further, it can drive purchase intentions and actual behavior (Bellizzi & Hite, 1992). Warmer colors are affiliated with physiological stimulation (Gerard, 1958) and elated mood states (Schaie & Heiss, 1964; Bellizzi & Hite, 1992). Paradoxically, retail stores having warmer colors are usually unpleasant, while cooler colors are perceived as pleasant (Bellizzi & Hite, 1992).

Lighting, visual merchandising, and display fixtures significantly contribute to store atmosphere in Indian retail stores (Singh, Katiyar, & Verma, 2014). Well-planned lighting designs are a boon for store interiors and can help in gaining customer attention to merchandise, sales promotion, and create shopping pleasure (Smith, 1989). Lighting and music jointly induce a pronounced effect on customers' in-store emotions (Yoo et al., 1998). Customers visiting the retail store perceive layout, lighting, music, and staff as a unified world and attribute it to the store environment (Mohan, Sivakumaran, & Sharma, 2013). Further, in-store factors such as lighting, background music, and staff interaction were significantly correlated with the shopping enjoyment tendency, which, in turn, enhanced the impulse buying tendency (Mohamad, 2015; Ullal, Hawaldar, Mendon, & Joesph, 2020). Iberahim, Zureena, Adila, and Quraisyiah, (2018) concluded that "to a certain degree, in a chaotic shopping environment, floor merchandising and lightings are less likely to affect impulse buying behavior", and suggested further investigation on the relevance of antecedents in the "fashion industry, in other locations, and/or types of stores". This leads to the following hypothesis:

H2: Attractive store ambiance leads to impulse buying behavior among female customers in apparel stores.

1.3. Store employees

Bitner (1990) advocates that employee behavior contributes to shopper evaluations. Crawford and Melewar (2003) contend that the store staff's presence in a store influences impulse buying. Employees provide product guidance, answer the queries by which customer frustration is reduced during the buying process (Parboteeah, 2005; Virvilaite, Saladiene, & Bagdonaite, 2009; Yu & Bastin, 2010; Husnain, Rehman, Syed, & Akhtar, 2019). Customers who received good quality service from the store sales personnel displayed higher impulse buying and revisited the intentions than those who received poor quality service (Pornpitakpan, Yuan, & Han, 2017). The sales conversion rate increased by half when the salesperson initiated contact with the customers who visited apparel stores (Underhill, 2009). Customers' perception of the store crowding and employee friendliness collectively impact the impulse buying decisions (Mattila & Wirtz, 2008). Atulkar and Kesari (2017) noticed higher footfalls in retail stores during weekends and holidays with a larger number of families, thus, prompting impulse buying. Husnain et al. (2019) showed a positive relationship between family influence, time availability, and impulse buying behavior among generation Y consumers. Luo (2005) argued that the presence of peers in the store enhances the desire for impulse buying, while family reduces it. Oliver and Swan (1989) opined that the salesperson's conduct and actions could impact customer satisfaction. Accordingly, the study proposes the following hypothesis:

H3: Store employees' interaction with female customers positively influences their impulse buying behavior.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

2.1. Sample designing and data collection

According to MSME-Development Institute (2016), Karnataka is "one of the most progressive and industrialized states in the country and a leading state in driving India's economic growth". It is the IT capital of India, with exports more than USD

60 billion and is the 4th largest technology cluster in the world (IBEF, 2018). In terms of Human Development Index, the state shares the nineteenth rank (Global Data Lab, 2019) in the country. The NASSCOM-AT Kearney Report (2017) has identified four major cities in Karnataka viz. Bengaluru (leader location), Mangaluru (challenger location), Hubballi-Dharwad, and Mysuru (aspirant location) for its business potential. The study, therefore, considered a sample of 385 female customers (convenience sampling method) visiting the leading apparel stores such as Max, Central, Westside, and Pantaloons in leading Tier I (Bengaluru) and Tier II (Mangaluru, Mysuru, Hubballi-Dharwad) cities in the state of Karnataka, India.

The selected stores have a pan-Indian presence, offering a wide range of branded merchandise for all age groups. Moreover, the stores have a unique layout, choice of music, colors, unique dress code, trained staff and are intended to encourage customers' emotions and purchase behaviour. The study followed a single-stage mall intercept survey method to gather responses, much like earlier studies (e.g., Beatty & Ferrell, 1998; Sharma et al., 2010; Mohan et al., 2013).

2.2. Measurement instrument

The study explores the influence of store layout, ambient factors, and human factors on the impulse buying behavior among female customers. Existing scales in the relevant literature were adapted to measure the constructs, store layout (Mihic & Kursan, 2010; Mohan et al., 2013; Badgaiyan & Verma, 2014), store ambiance (Mattila & Wirtz, 2008; Mihic & Kursan, 2010; Mohan et al., 2013; Atulkar & Kesari, 2018), store employees (Mihic & Kursan, 2010; Mohan et al., 2013), urge to buy spontaneously (Beatty & Ferrell, 1998; Pradhan, 2016), and money spent (Beatty & Ferrell, 1998; Pradhan, 2016).

2.3. Convergent and discriminant validity

Convergent and discriminant validities are two important facets of construct validity. Convergent validity shows how the new scale is related to other variables and other measures of the same construct. The discriminant validity presents the construct

Table 1. Correlation between the variables of store layout

Variables: Store Layout		Well- structured layout	Pleasing store decor		Pay attention to the window display	Creative and systematic arrangement of products	Comfortable and well- maintained trial rooms
	Pearson correlation	1	0.518**	0.632**	0.521**	0.598**	0.525**
Well-structured layout	Sig. (2-tailed)		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
layout	N	385	385	385	385	385	385
	Pearson correlation	0.518**	1	0.466**	0.750**	0.526**	0.576**
Pleasing store decor	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	N	385	385	385	385	385	385
•	Pearson correlation	0.632**	0.466**	1	0.548**	0.670**	0.574**
Attractive display	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000
	N	385	385	385	385	385	385
	Pearson correlation	0.521**	0.750**	0.548**	1	0.473**	0.656**
Pay attention to the window display	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000
willdow display	N	385	385	385	385	385	385
Creative and	Pearson correlation	0.598**	0.526**	0.670**	0.473**	1	0.504**
systematic	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000
arrangement of products	N	385	385	385	385	385	385
Comfortable and	Pearson correlation	0.525**	0.576**	0.574**	0.656**	0.504**	1
well-maintained trial	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
rooms	N	385	385	385	385	385	385

Note: ** correlation is significant at 0.01 level (2-tailed).

that not only should correlate with related variables, but it also should not correlate with dissimilar and unrelated ones (de Vet, Terwee, Mokkink, & Knol, 2011; Streiner, Norman, & Cairney, 2015). The study examines the concurrent validity of the respondents' impulse buying behavior with three sets of factors, i.e., store layout, ambiance factor, and employee interaction, with convergent and discriminant analysis.

Table 1 presents the convergent validity of the store layout comprising of six items. Convergent validity examines the strength of the variables.

From Table 1, it is observed that there exists a strong correlation between the variables of store layout, with p-value 0.000 < 0.005 at 1% significance level.

Table 2. Discriminant validity of store layout

Table 2 presents that the independent variables of store layout are significant at 0.000 < 0.005. Hence, the discriminant dimensions are highly significant and show a strong relationship.

Table 3 interprets the convergent validity of store ambiance comprising of four items. The strength of the variables is measured through convergent validity.

Table 3 shows a strong correlation between store ambiance variables, with p-value 0.000 < 0.005 at 1% significance level.

Table 4 shows that the independent variables of store ambiance are significant at 0.000 < 0.005. Hence, the discriminant dimensions are highly significant and show a strong relationship.

Store Layout	Wilks' Lambda	F	df1	df2	Sig.
Well-structured layout	0.731	35.003	4	380	0.000
Pleasing store décor	0.858	15.666	4	380	0.000
Attractive display	0.710	38.830	4	380	0.000
Pay attention to the window display	0.798	24.078	4	380	0.000
The creative and systematic arrangement of products	0.780	26.799	4	380	0.000
Comfortable and well-maintained trial rooms	0.739	33.633	4	380	0.000

Table 3. Correlation between the variables of store ambiance

Variables: Store Ambience				In-store lighting is pleasing to the eyes	Cleanliness influences to buy more
	Pearson correlation	1	0.432**	0.740**	0.493**
Pleasant music stimulates to	Sig. (2-tailed)		0.000	0.000	0.000
buy more	N	385	385	385	385
The good scent in the store leads to a longer stay	Pearson correlation	0.432**	1	0.422**	0.623**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000		0.000	0.000
leads to a longer stay	N	385	385	385	385
	Pearson correlation	0.740**	0.422**	1	0.545**
In-store lighting is pleasing to the eyes	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000		0.000
the cycs	N	385	385	385	385
	Pearson correlation	0.493**	0.623**	0.545**	1
Cleanliness influences to buy more	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	N	385	385	385	385

Note: ** correlation is significant at 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 4. Discriminant validity of store ambiance

Store Ambience	Wilks' Lambda	F	df1	df2	Sig.
Pleasant music stimulates to buy more	0.746	32.408	4	380	0.000
The good scent in the store leads to a longer stay	0.671	46.501	4	380	0.000
In-store lighting is pleasing to the eyes	0.709	38.941	4	380	0.000
Cleanliness influences to buy more	0.699	40.991	4	380	0.000

Table 5. Correlation between the variables of employee interactions

Variables: Employee Interactions		Sufficient employees to serve customers	Knowledgeable employees to guide the customer	Friendly and helpful employees	Gracious greeting by the employees
	Pearson correlation	1	0.468**	0.690**	0.428**
Sufficient employees to serve customers	Sig. (2-tailed)		0.000	0.000	0.000
customers	N	385	385	385	385
Knowledgeable employees to guide the customer	Pearson correlation	0.468**	1	0.426**	0.761**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000		0.000	0.000
gaide the customer	N	385	385	385	385
	Pearson correlation	0.690**	0.426**	1	0.451**
Friendly and helpful employees	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000		0.000
employees	N	385	385	385	385
•	Pearson correlation	0.428**	0.761**	0.451**	1
Gracious greeting by the employees	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000	0.000	
employees	N	385	385	385	385

Note: ** correlation is significant at 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 6. Discriminant validity for employee interaction

Employee Interaction	Wilks' Lambda	F	df1	df2	Sig.
Sufficient employees to serve customers	0.584	67.752	4	380	0.000
Knowledgeable employees to guide the customer	0.853	16.431	4	380	0.000
Friendly and helpful employees	0.698	41.180	4	380	0.000
Gracious greeting by the employees	0.862	15.176	4	380	0.000

Table 5 interprets the convergent validity of employee interaction comprising of four items. The strength of the variables is measured through convergent validity.

Table 5 shows a strong correlation between the variables of employee interaction, with p-value 0.000 < 0.005 at 1% significance level.

Table 6 shows that the independent variables of employee interaction are significant at 0.000 < 0.005. Hence, the discriminant dimensions are highly significant and show a strong relationship.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following section provides an analysis of the data obtained from the survey.

Table 7. Demographic profile

Demographics	Classification	Count	Percentage
	Below 25 years	140	36.4%
	25-35 years	112	29.1%
Age	36-45 years	73	19.0%
	Above 46	60	15.6%
	Total	385	100.0%
	Working woman	149	38.7%
Occupation	Student	142	36.9%
	Homemaker	94	24.4%
	Total	385	100.0%
	Once a month	78	20.3%
	Twice a month	80	20.8%
Frequency of visit to the store	More than twice a month	92	23.9%
	Occasionally	135	35.1%
	Total	385	100.0%
	Yes	314	81.6%
Revisit the store in	No	14	3.6%
future	Maybe	57	14.8%
	Total	385	100.0%

Table 7 shows that the sample consists of 36.4% of the respondents belonging to the age group of below 25 years, 29.1% of the respondents from the category 25-35 years, 19% of the respondents belong to 36-45 years, and 15.6% of the respondents are above 46 years. The majority of respondents

are working women (38.7%), 36.9% are students, and 24.4% are homemakers. 35.1% of the respondents occasionally visit the store, 23.9% of the respondents visit the store more than twice a month, 20.8% visit twice a month, and 20.3% of the respondents visit once a month. 81.6% of the respondents revisit the store in future, 14.8% of the respondents may revisit the store, and only 3.6% of the respondents will not revisit the store in future.

3.1. Impact of various factors on impulse buying behavior among female customers

The effect of various factors on impulse buying behavior among the respondents is measured through 14 statements using a five-point Likert scale.

Table 8. Reliability statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha based on standardized items	No. of items
0.943	0.943	14

Table 8 shows the calculated Cronbach's Alpha of 0.943, which indicates a very high level of internal consistency for 14 items defined, which shows that the scale used to measure factors on impulse buying behavior is highly reliable.

3.2. Regression analysis to find the impact of store layout on impulse buying behavior

Pradhan (2016, p. 227) has measured respondent's impulsiveness by considering two variables viz. spending 'more money than intended' and 'buy things spontaneously'. Accordingly, this study has performed multiple regression analysis by considering store layout as the independent variable and the impulse buying behavior "End up spending more money than planned", "Experienced sudden urges to buy unplanned apparels" as the dependent variables. Thus, further, there is hypothesized the store layout with six independent variables with the impulse buying behavior among female customers in apparel stores:

H1: The freeflow store layout encourages impulse buying behavior among female customers in apparel stores.

Table 9. Regression analysis of the impact of store layout on impulse buying behavior

No.	Independent variables	Unstandardized coefficients		Standardized coefficients	t	Sig.
		В	Std. error	Beta		
	(Constant)	0.839	0.226		3.713	0.000
1	Well-structured layout	-0.116	0.071	-0.090	-1.634	0.103
2	Pleasing store decor	0.317	0.065	0.306	4.846	0.000**
3	Attractive display	0.149	0.067	0.136	2.230	0.026*
4	Pay attention to the window display	0.051	0.069	0.050	0.747	0.456
5	The creative and systematic arrangement of products	0.161	0.063	0.147	2.549	0.011*
6	Comfortable and well-maintained trial rooms	0.227	0.057	0.223	3.958	0.000**

Note: a. Dependent variable: end up spending more money than planned. Significant at * 0.05, ** 0.01 levels.

Table 10. Summary of adjusted *R*-squared

R	R-squared	Adjusted R-squared	p-value
0.647	0.419	0.409	0.000**

Table 9 provides the standardized beta coefficients and p-value for the factors causing impulse buying behavior. The result shows that four factors were statistically significant among six factors, with a p-value less than 0.05. They are (1) "pleasing store decor" ($\beta = 0.306$, p = 0.000), (2) "attractive display" ($\beta = 0.136$, p = 0.026), (3) "creative and systematic arrangement of products" ($\beta = 0.147$, p = 0.011), and (4) "comfortable and well maintained trial rooms" ($\beta = 0.223$, p = 0.000). Other factors have a low impact on impulse buying behavior. However, they are not statistically significant.

Table 10 gives the adjusted *R*-squared value for impulse buying behavior. The overall impact of these factors on the level of impulse buying was 40.9%.

Table 11 provides the standardized beta coefficients and p-value for the factors causing impulse buying behavior. The result shows that five factors were statistically significant among six factors, with a p-value less than 0.05. They are (1) "well-structured layout" ($\beta = 0.247$, p = 0.000),

- (2) "pleasing store decor" ($\beta = 0.132$, p = 0.046),
- (3) "attractive display" ($\beta = 0.129$, p = 0.045), (4)

"creative and systematic arrangement of products"

Table 11. Regression analysis of the impact of store layout on impulse buying behavior

No.	Independent variables		indardized efficients	Standardized coefficients	t	Sig.
		В	Std. error	Beta		
	(Constant)	1.487	0.187		7.937	0.000
1	Well-structured layout	0.25	0.059	0.247	4.248	0.000**
2	Pleasing store decor	-0.108	0.054	0.132	2	0.046*
3	Attractive display	0.111	0.055	0.129	2.015	0.045*
4	Pay attention to the window display	0.07	0.057	0.087	1.235	0.218
5	The creative and systematic arrangement of products	0.123	0.052	0.142	2.352	0.019*
6	Comfortable and well-maintained trial rooms	0.187	0.048	0.232	3.922	0.000**

Note: a. Dependent variable: experienced sudden urges to buy unplanned apparel. Significant at * 0.05, ** 0.01 levels.

Table 12. Summary of adjusted *R*-squared

R	<i>R</i> -squared	Adjusted R-squared	<i>p</i> -value
0.600	0.361	0.350	0.000**

(β = 0.142, p = 0.019), and (5) "comfortable and well maintained trial rooms" (β = 0.232, p = 0.000), while "pay attention to the window display" has a low impact on impulse buying behavior. However, it is statistically not significant.

Table 12 gives the adjusted *R*-squared value for impulse buying behavior. The overall impact of these factors on the level of impulse buying was 35.0%.

The results of Table 10 and 12 show a positive influence of store layout on impulse buying behavior. So, hypothesis *H1* is accepted.

3.3. Regression analysis to find the impact of ambient factors on impulse buying behavior

Multiple regression analysis was performed by considering five factors about ambient factors as independent variables and impulse buying behavior "end up spending more money than planned" as the dependent variable. Therefore, the hypothesis is framed to examine how store ambiance influences impulse buying among female customers:

H2: Attractive store ambiance leads to impulse buying behavior among female customers in apparel stores.

Table 13 provides the standardized beta coefficients and *p*-value for the ambient factors causing impulse buying behavior. The result reveals

that among four factors, all four factors were statistically significant, with a p-value less than 0.05. They are (1) "pleasant music stimulates to buy more" ($\beta = 0.304$, p = 0.000), (2) "good scent in the store leads to longer stay" ($\beta = 0.128$, p = 0.007), (3) "in-store lighting is pleasing to the eyes" ($\beta = 0.301$, p = 0.000), and (4) "cleanliness influences to buy more" ($\beta = 0.109$, p = 0.033).

Table 14 gives the adjusted *R*-squared value for impulse buying behavior. The overall impact of these factors on the level of impulse buying was 49.4%.

Table 15 provides the standardized beta coefficients and p-value for the ambient factors causing impulse buying behavior. The result reveals that among four factors, three factors were statistically significant, with a p-value less than 0.05. They are (1) "the good scent in the store leads to longer stay" ($\beta = 0.317$, p = 0.000), (2) "in-store lighting is pleasing to the eyes" ($\beta = 0.213$, p = 0.001), and (3) "cleanliness influences to buy more" ($\beta = 0.185$, p = 0.001). Another factor has a low impact on impulse buying behavior. However, it is not statistically significant.

Table 16 gives the adjusted *R*-squared value for impulse buying behavior. The overall impact of these factors on the level of impulse buying was 41.2%.

The results of Tables 14 and 16 show a positive influence of store ambiance on impulse buying behavior. So, hypothesis H2 is accepted.

Table 13. Regression analysis of the impact of store ambiance on impulse buying behavior

No.	Independent variables	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	dardized icients	Standardized coefficients	t	Sig. 0.001
		В	Std. error	Beta	# :	
	(Constant)	0.662	0.191		3.468	0.001
1	Pleasant music stimulates to buy more	0.295	0.053	0.304	5.514	0.000**
2	The good scent in the store leads to a longer stay	0.138	0.051	0.128	2.714	0.007*
3	In-store lighting is pleasing to the eyes	0.294	0.055	0.301	5.306	0.000**
4	Cleanliness influences to buy more	0.116	0.054	0.109	2.141	0.033*

Note: a. Dependent variable: end up spending more money than planned. Significant at * 0.05, ** 0.01 levels.

Table 14. Summary of adjusted R-squared

R	R-squared	Adjusted <i>R</i> -squared	<i>p</i> -value
0.707	0.499	0.494	0.000**

Table 15. Regression analysis of the impact of store ambiance on impulse buying behavior

No.	Independent variables		ndardized fficients	Standardized coefficients	t	Sig.
		В	Std. error	Beta	8.537 0.000 1.310 0.191 6.221 0.000** 3.475 0.001*	
	(Constant)	1.389	0.163		8.537	0.000
1	Pleasant music stimulates to buy more	0.060	0.046	0.078	1.310	0.191
2	The good scent in the store leads to a longer stay	0.269	0.043	0.317	6.221	0.000**
3	In-store lighting is pleasing to the eyes	0.164	0.047	0.213	3.475	0.001*
4	Cleanliness influences to buy more	0.156	0.046	0.185	3.382	0.001*

Note: a. Dependent variable: experienced sudden urges to buy unplanned apparel. Significant at * 0.05, ** 0.01 levels.

Table 16. Summary of adjusted R-squared

R	R-squared	Adjusted R-squared	<i>p</i> -value
0.647	0.418	0.412	0.000**

3.4. Regression analysis to find the impact of store employee interaction on impulse buying behavior

Multiple regression analysis was performed by considering five factors about store employee interaction as independent variables and impulse buying behavior "end up spending more money than planned" as the dependent variable. Hence, the hypothesis is formulated to test the effect of employee interaction on the impulse buying behavior of female customers:

H3: Store employees' interaction with female customers positively influences their impulse buying behavior.

Table 17 provides the standardized beta coefficients and p-value for the factors causing impulse buying behavior. The result shows that among four factors, two factors were statistically significant, with a p-value less than 0.05. They are (1) "knowledgeable employees to guide customer" (β = 0.492, p = 0.000) and (2) "gracious greeting by the employees" (β = 0.259, p = 0.000). Other factors have a low impact on impulse buying behavior. However, they are not statistically significant.

Table 18 gives the adjusted *R*-squared value for impulse buying behavior. The overall impact of these factors on the level of impulse buying was 54.3%.

Table 19 provides the standardized beta coefficients and p-value for the factors causing impulse buying behavior. The result shows that one factor

Table 17. Regression analysis of the impact of store employees' interaction on customers' impulse buying behavior

No.	Independent variables	Unstand coeffi		Standardized coefficients	t	Sig.
		В	Std. error	Beta		
	(Constant)	0.612	0.204		2.995	0.003
1	Sufficient employees to serve customers	0.001	0.063	0.001	0.010	0.992
2	Knowledgeable employees to guide the customer	0.496	0.055	0.492	8.974	0.000**
3	Friendly and helpful employees	0.072	0.056	0.063	1.290	0.198
4	Gracious greeting by the employees	0.272	0.057	0.259	4.750	0.000**

Note: a. Dependent variable: end up spending more money than planned. Significant at * 0.05, ** 0.01 levels.

Table 18. Summary of adjusted *R*-squared

R	<i>R</i> -squared	Adjusted R-squared	p-value
0.740	0.548	0.543	0.000**

Table 19. Regression analysis of the impact of store employees' interaction on customers' impulse buying behavior

No.	Independent variables		dardized icients	Standardized coefficients	t	Sig.
		B Std. error	Beta			
	(Constant)	1.277	0.189		6.771	0.000
1	Sufficient employees to serve customers	0.507	0.058	0.503	8.715	0.000**
2	Knowledgeable employees to guide the customer	0.038	0.051	0.048	0.745	0.457
3	Friendly and helpful employees	0.084	0.051	.094	1.631	0.104
4	Gracious greeting by the employees	0.036	0.053	0.044	0.684	0.494

Note: a. Dependent variable: experienced sudden urges to buy unplanned apparel. Significant at * 0.05, ** 0.01 levels.

Table 20. Summary of adjusted R-squared

R	<i>R</i> -squared	Adjusted R-squared	<i>p</i> -value
0.619	0.383	0.377	0.000**

is statistically significant among four factors, with a p-value less than 0.05. That is "sufficient employees to serve customers" (β = 0.503, p = 0.000). Other factors have a low impact on impulse buying behavior. However, they are not statistically significant.

Table 20 gives the adjusted *R*-squared for impulse buying behavior. The overall impact of these factors on the level of impulse buying was 37.7%.

Tables 18 and 20 show a positive influence on store employees' interaction on customers' impulse buying behavior. So, hypothesis *H3* is accepted.

4. DISCUSSION

This study extends the understanding of impulse buying, specifically among female customers, in India's rapidly evolving apparel industry. The study found that female shoppers make impulse purchases predominantly during their visit to the stores. The sample had the highest share of working women (38.7%), implying the increased purchasing power due to additional income. It also shows that the stores surveyed are innovative, visually appealing and stimulating the impulse buying intention. Among the three constructs considered for the study, 'store ambiance' emerged to be the leading factor influencing the impulse buying behavior. The impact of ambiance on impulse buying behavior was measured consistently above 40% for both the indicators of impulse buying, i.e., "end up spending more money than planned" and "experienced sudden urges to buy unplanned apparels". Pradhan (2016) claimed that "the impulsive buying behavior displayed by consumers in supermarkets may not be applicable in each and every environment" and, thus, store environment is a key determinant leading to impulse buying behavior in apparel stores.

The window displays in the stores surveyed are perceived as not eye-catching and, hence, shows no impact on impulse buying, while all other elements under the store layout have contributed to impulse buying with store decor as the leading factor followed by the layout.

The result also shows that among all the underlying factors, 'sufficient employees in the store' had the highest effect on the impulse buying intention, followed by knowledgeable employee guidance. This shows that the number of sales staff and their retail skills are critical aspects to impulse buying decisions made by female shoppers in apparel stores. Moreover, Ansari (2013) opined that "the personal attributes and character formulation of the staff member suffer from rigidity" in Indian apparel stores. This is reflected in the study with employees' friendly and helping nature, having no impact on impulse buying.

5. MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS

The study offers significant insights into the impact of atmospheric and social stimuli on impulse

buying behavior among female shoppers in the Indian apparel retail context. The outcomes of this research are consistent with the previous researches (Mohan et al., 2013; Atulkar & Kesari, 2018) on impulse buying in organized retail outlets in India.

Shoppers who perceive the store atmosphere more positively are expected to spend more time in the store and make impulse purchases. The window display followed in the stores surveyed was not effective in driving impulse purchases. This could be due to customers' familiarity with similar display patterns across the apparel stores or female shoppers who are high sensation seekers when it comes to fashion products. Hence, store managers should invest in window displays that stand out from the crowd, make a great first impression, and drive foot traffic.

The survey also indicates that female shoppers are significantly influenced by the number of store associates and personal interaction. This implies that women are more vulnerable to impulse buying during apparel shopping. Findings of Tulungen (2013) support this argument. However, the Indian retail sector is facing one of the biggest challenges in the form of attrition rate, which is around 40-45% (Maheshwari & Verma, 2016). Thus, store managers should focus on retaining the best talents for building customer satisfaction and store loyalty.

The store scent under the ambiance construct turned out to be a key determinant of the impulse purchase. This indicates that the right choice of scent in the store can enhance the perception of merchandise quality and, thus, could influence the shoppers to prolong their stay in the store leading to impulse purchase. The store managers need to reflect upon the fragrances the customers prefer at large since the above stores offer merchandise to all ages and across genders. Store managers could preferably use traditional aromas near the entrance and common area and use combinations to evoke the brand identity.

CONCLUSION

The productiveness of in-store stimuli in generating additional sales is of significance to the retailers since it helps to differentiate their store from the competitors' offerings (Abratt & Goodey, 1990). The study strengthens the literature by analyzing the impulse buying behavior among Indian shoppers from Southern India. The study presents important findings concerning the role of three constructs, such as store layout, store ambiance, and store employees on the customers' buying intentions. The result of the study indicates that all three constructs significantly positively influence impulse buying behavior. Further, twelve out of fourteen factors examined in the study are found to influence impulse buying behavior. In contrast, factors such as paying attention to the window display, friendly and helpful employees did not show any association with a sudden urge to buy or spend more money than planned and, thus, impulse buying behavior. During the survey, the staffing in the stores was limited, which could be the reason for the lack of association with impulse purchases.

The modern Indian women are well educated, enlightened and tech-savvy. They are keen on shopping especially, the apparels and often make emotional spending through impulse purchases. The trend is encouraging in the years ahead, considering their increased spending on apparels. The study concludes that female shoppers are impulsive towards apparel products and their impulsivity can be elevated by felicitous employee intervention and judicious spending on the store interior designs, especially the choice of ambient scents which can induce a feeling of inquisitiveness.

Limitations and future scope

While the study has important contributions, it also has some shortcomings. The study concluded the impulse buying behavior of respondents in the store based on two important indicators of impulse buying, i.e., the urge to buy spontaneously and spend more money than planned. Furthermore, the study

http://dx.doi.org/10.21511/im.16(2).2020.05

ignored the influence of in-store promotion, point of purchase (POP) deals, impulse buying tendency of shoppers, and peer influence on impulse purchase behavior.

It is important to realize the traffic flow and navigation patterns of both men and women in apparel stores to optimize their shopping experience and strategize store layout. Also, comfortable trial rooms are found to be crucial to impulse sales. Aspects such as trial room space, ventilation, waiting area for shoppers accompanying the buyer are important areas to be considered. Future studies on store layout should investigate the relevance of trial rooms in driving shopper satisfaction and increased sales in the context of apparel retailing.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: Iqbal Thonse Hawaldar, Prakash Pinto.

Data curation: Vinish P, Slima Pinto.

Formal analysis: Vinish P, Iqbal Thonse Hawaldar, Slima Pinto.

Investigation: Prakash Pinto, Slima Pinto.

Methodology: Vinish P, Iqbal Thonse Hawaldar.

Project administration: Prakash Pinto.

Software: Vinish P, Slima Pinto. Validation: Iqbal Thonse Hawaldar. Writing – original draft: Vinish P.

Writing - review & editing: Vinish P, Prakash Pinto, Slima Pinto, Iqbal Thonse Hawaldar.

REFERENCES

- Abratt, R., & Goodey, S. D. (1990). Unplanned Buying and In-Store Stimuli in Supermarkets. Managerial and Decision Economics, 11(2), 111-121. https://doi.org/10.1002/mde.4090110204
- Adler, J., Csikszentmihalyi, M., & Rochberg-Halton, E. (1983). The Meaning of Things: Domestic Symbols and the Self. Contemporary Sociology, 12, 452-453. https://doi. org/10.2307/2067526
- 3. Amos, C., Holmes, G. R., & Keneson, W. C. (2014). A meta-analysis of consumer impulse buying. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 21(2), 86-97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2013.11.004
- 4. Ansari, S. (2013). Apparel
 Retailing: Challenges and
 Prospects in India (University of
 Lucknow). Retrieved from http://
 shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/42500/2/saukat
 ansari thesis.pdf
- 5. Areni, C. S., & Kim, D. (1994). The influence of in-store lighting

- on consumers' examination of merchandise in a wine store. *International Journal of Research in Marketing, 11*(2), 117-125. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8116(94)90023-X
- Atulkar, S., & Kesari, B.
 (2017). Impulse Buying: A
 Consumer Trait Prospective
 in Context of Central India.
 Global Business Review,
 19(2), 477-493. https://doi.
 org/10.1177/0972150917713546
- 7. Atulkar, S., & Kesari, B. (2018).
 Role of consumer traits and situational factors on impulse buying: does gender matter?
 International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management, 46(4), 386-405. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-12-2016-0239
- Badgaiyan, A. J., & Verma, A.
 (2014). Intrinsic factors affecting impulsive buying behaviour-evidence from India. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 21(4), 537-549. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretcon-ser.2014.04.003

- Badgaiyan, A. J., & Verma,
 A. (2015). Does urge to buy impulsively differ from impulsive buying behaviour? Assessing the impact of situational factors. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 22, 145-157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2014.10.002
- 10. Baker, J., Levy, M., & Grewal, D. (1992). An experimental approach to making retail store environment decisions. *Journal of Retailing*, 68, 445-460. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303166632_An_experimental_approach_to_making_retail_store_environment_decisions
- Beatty, S. E., & Ferrell, E.
 M. (1998). Impulse buying:
 Modeling its precursors. *Journal of Retailing*, 74(2), 169-191.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4359(99)80092-X
- Bellenger, Danny N., Robertson, Dan H., & Hirschman, E. C. (1978). Impulse Buying Varies by Product. *Journal of Advertising* Research, 18(6), 15. Retrieved

- from https://search.proquest.com/docview/205121977/905D091AE 4C54D4APQ/1?accountid=193930
- Bellizzi, J. A., Crowley, A. E., & Hasty, R. W. (1983). The effects of color in-store design. *Journal* of Retail, 59(1), 21-45. Retrieved from https://psycnet.apa.org/ record/1984-10983-001
- Bellizzi, J. A., & Hite, R. E. (1992). Environmental Color, Consumer Feelings, and Purchase Likelihood. Psychology & Marketing, 9(5), 347-363. https://doi.org/10.1002/ mar.4220090502
- 15. Bitner, M. (1990). Evaluating Service Encounters: The Effects of Physical Surroundings and Employee Responses. *Journal of Marketing*, 54(2), 69-82. Retrieved from https://www.jstor.org/ stable/1251871?seq=1
- 16. Bitner, M. J. (1992). Servicescapes: the impact of Physical Surroundings on Customers and Employees. *Journal of Marketing*, 56, 57-71. https://doi.org/10.1177 %2F002224299205600205
- Bloch, P. H. (1986). The Product Enthusiast: Implications for Marketing Strategy. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 3(3), 51-62. https://doi.org/10.1108/eb008170
- 18. Brengman, M. (2002). The impact of colour in the store environment: an environmental psychology approach.
- Cakanlar, A., & Nguyen, T.
 (2019). The influence of culture on impulse buying. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 36(1), 12-23. https://doi.org/10.1108/JCM-03-2017-2139
- Chang, H. J., Yan, R. N., & Eckman, M. (2014). Moderating effects of situational characteristics on impulse buying. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 42(4), 298-314. https://doi.org/10.1108/ IJRDM-04-2013-0074
- 21. Cottet, P., Lichtle, M.-C., & Plichon, V. (2010). The Influence of Ambient Factors in Services: The Compared Effects of Perceived Colors and Store Layout. The 11th International Research Seminar in Service Management, (June),

- 1-24. Retrieved from http:// www.lalondeconference.com/ SM/2010_lalonde_seminar/ papers/p08-112-cottet-plichonlichtlerev25032010.pdf
- Crawford, G., & Melewar, T.
 C. (2003). The importance of impulse purchasing behaviour in the international airport environment. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 3(1), 85-98. https://doi.org/10.1002/cb.124
- 23. de Vet, H. C. W., Terwee, C. B., Mokkink, L. B., & Knol, D. L. (2011). Measurement in Medicine: A Practical Guide. https://doi. org/10.1017/CBO9780511996214
- 24. Ding, C. G., & Lin, C. H. (2012). How does background music tempo work for online shopping? *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 11(3), 299-307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2011.10.002
- Dittmar, H., Beattie, J., & Friese, S. (1995). Gender identity and material symbols: Objects and decision considerations in impulse purchases. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 16(3), 491-511. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-4870(95)00023-H
- Donovan, R. J., Rossiter, J. R., Marcoolyn, G., & Nesdale, A. (1994). Store Atmosphere and Purchase Behavior. *Journal* of *Retailing*, 70(3), 283-294. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4359(94)90037-X
- 27. Dube, L., & Morin, S. (2001).

 Background music pleasure and store evaluation: Intensity effects and psychological mechanisms.

 Journal of Business Research, 54(2), 107-113. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963(99)00092-2
- 28. Floh, A., & Madlberger, M. (2013). The role of atmospheric cues in online impulse-buying behavior. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 12(6), 425-439. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2013.06.001
- 29. Gerard, R. M. (1958). Differential effects of colored lights on psychophysiological functions. University of California, Los Angeles.

- 30. Global Data Lab. (2019).

 Subnational Human Development
 Index (4.0). Retrieved from
 Institute for Management
 Research, Radboud University
 website: https://globaldatalab.
 org/shdi/shdi/IND/?interpolat
 ion=0&extrapolation=0&neare
 st_real=0%0Ahttps://globaldatalab.org/shdi/shdi/
- 31. Goldsmith, R. E., & Emmert, J. (1991). Measuring product category involvement: A multitrait-multimethod study. *Journal of Business Research*, 23(4), 363-371. https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-2963(91)90021-
- 32. Gopal, V. (2010). Impact of Instore Music on Shopper Behavior. *Journal of Business and Retail Management Research*, 5(1), 65-75. Retrieved from https://jbrmr.com/cdn/article_file/i-4_c-22.pdf
- 33. Hawaldar, I. T., Ullal, M. S., Birau, F. R., & Spulbar, C. M. (2019). Trapping Fake Discounts as Drivers of Real Revenues and Their Impact on Consumer's Behavior in India: A Case Study. Sustainability, 11(17), 4637. https://doi.org/10.3390/ su11174637
- 34. Herabadi, A. G., Verplanken, B., & Van Knippenberg, A. (2009). Consumption experience of impulse buying in Indonesia: Emotional arousal and hedonistic considerations. Asian Journal of Social Psychology, 12(1), 20-31. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-839X.2008.01266.x
- Hoch, S. J., & Loewenstein, G. F. (1991). Time-Inconsistent Preferences and Consumer Self-Control. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 17(4), 492. Retrieved from https://search.proquest.com/docview/215039169/DBC157B3C 40741C2PQ/1?accountid=193930
- Husnain, M., Rehman, B., Syed, F., & Akhtar, M. W. (2019). Personal and In-store Factors Influencing Impulse Buying Behavior among Generation Y Consumers of Small Cities. Business Perspectives and Research, 7(1), 92-107. https://doi. org/10.1177/2278533718800625
- 37. Hwang, H., Choi, B., & Lee, M.-J. (2005). A model for shelf

- space allocation and inventory control considering location and inventory level effects on demand. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 97(2), 185-195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2004.07.003
- IBEF. (2018). Karnataka: The Silicon Valley of India. Retrieved from https://www.ibef.org/down-load/Karnataka-April-20181.pdf
- 39. IBEF. (2019). *Retail*. Retrieved from India Brand Equity
 Foundation website: https://www.ibef.org/download/retail-jan-2019.
 pdf
- 40. Iberahim, H., Zureena, Z. N. A., Adila, R. A. S. R. N., & Quraisyiah, R. S. (2018). Determinants of Customer Impulse Buying Behavior at Product Specialist Fashion Retail Stores. *Journal* of *Economic & Management* Perspectives, 12(1), 538-544. Retrieved from https://search. proquest.com/openview/5619bc9d 7f4322ef073a05454254115b/1?pqorigsite=gscholar&cbl=51667
- 41. Ju, J., & Ahn, J. H. (2016). The effect of social and ambient factors on impulse purchasing behavior in social commerce. *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce*, 26(4), 285-306. https://doi.org/10.1080/10919392.2016.1228353
- 42. Kacen, J. J., Hess, J. D., & Walker, D. (2012). Spontaneous selection: The influence of product and retailing factors on consumer impulse purchases. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 19(6), 578-588. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2012.07.003
- Kaiser, S. B. (1997). The social psychology of clothing (2nd ed.). New York: Fairchild Publications.
- 44. Kim, H. (2005). Consumer profiles of apparel product involvement and values. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, 9(2), 207-220. https://doi.org/10.1108/13612020510599358
- 45. Kotler, P. (1974). Atmospherics as a marketing tool. *Journal of Retailing*, 49, 48-64.
- 46. Lai, C., & Chang, K.-M. (2015). Effect of Background Music

- and Visual Display on Shopping Website Browsing and Purchasing Process. Proceedings of the Second European Academic Research Conference on Global Business, Economics, Finance and Banking (EAR15Swiss Conference), 3-5. Retrieved from http://globalbizresearch.org/Swiss_Conference/pdf/Z560.pdf
- 47. Levav, J., & Zhu, R. (2009).

 Seeking Freedom through Variety.

 Journal of Consumer Research,

 36(4), 600-610. https://doi.
 org/10.1086/599556
- Lewison, D. M. (1994). Retailing (5th ed.). New York: Macmillan College Publishing Company.
- Lin, Y.-F., & Yoon, S.-Y. (2015).
 Exploring the Effects of Lighting on Consumer Responses in a Retail Environment using 3D Walk-Through Animation.
 Archives of Design Research, 28(2), 5. https://doi.org/10.15187/adr.2015.05.28.2.5
- 50. Liu, S. S., Melara, R., & Arangarasan, R. (2007). The Effects of Store Layout on Consumer Buying Behavioral Parameters with Visual Technology. *Journal of Shopping Center Research*, 14(2), 63-72. Retrieved from http://connection.ebscohost.com/c/articles/62247965/effects-store-layout-consumer-buying-behavioral-parameters-visual-technology
- 51. Luo, X. (2005). How does shopping with others influence impulsive purchasing? *Journal* of *Consumer Psychology*, 15(4), 288-294. https://doi.org/10.1207/ s15327663jcp1504_3
- Ma, J.-Y., Liu, T., Li, X., & Chen, Y.-W. (2017). The Impact of Background Music Rhythm on Impulsive Buying: Moderating Effect of Shopping Attitude. 2017 International Conference on Economics and Management Engineering (ICEME 2017), 1-6. https://doi.org/10.12783/dtem/ iceme2017/11757
- 53. Maheshwari, R., & Verma, P. (2016, July 9). Retail companies seeing attrition rate for sales executives drop to 40-45%

- this year. *The Economic Times*. Retrieved from http://search. ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=t rue&db=bsu&AN=118800665&sit e=ehost-live
- 54. Mattila, A. S., & Wirtz, J. (2001). Congruency of scent and music as a driver of in-store evaluations and behavior. *Journal of Retailing*, 77(2), 273-289. https://doi.org/10.7151/dmgt.1063
- 55. Mattila, A. S., & Wirtz, J. (2008). The role of store environmental stimulation and social factors on impulse purchasing. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 22(7), 562-567. https://doi. org/10.1108/08876040810909686
- 56. Mihic, M., & Kursan, I. (2010). Assessing the situational factors and impulsive buying behavior: Market segmentation approach. *Management*, 15(2), 47-66. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/285752364_Assessing_the_situational_factors_and_impulsive_buying_behavior_Market_segmentation_approach
- 57. Milliman, R. E. (1986). The Influence of Background Music on the Behavior of Restaurant Patrons. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 13(2), 286-289. Retrieved from https://www.jstor.org/stable/2489234?seq=1
- 58. Mitchell, M. R., & Potenza, M. N. (2015). Importance of sex differences in impulse control and addictions. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *6*, 1-5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2015.00024
- 59. Mohamad, M. S. (2015). Store
 Environment, Personality Factors
 and Impulse Buying Behavior
 in Egypt: The Mediating Roles
 of Shop Enjoyment and Impulse
 Buying Tendencies. Journal
 of Business and Management
 Sciences, 3(2), 69-77. Retrieved
 from https://www.researchgate.
 net/publication/331839370_Store_
 Environment_Personality_Factors_and_Impulse_Buying_Behavior_in_Egypt_The_Mediating_
 Roles_of_Shop_Enjoyment_and_
 Impulse_Buying_Tendencies
- 60. Mohan, G., Sivakumaran, B., & Sharma, P. (2013). Impact of store

- environment on impulse buying behavior. *European Journal of Marketing*, 47(10), 1711-1732. https://doi.org/10.1108/EJM-03-2011-0110
- 61. Moore, C. M. (2006). From Fiorucci to the guerrilla stores: Shop displays in architecture, marketing and communications. Oxford: Windsor Books.
- 62. Morrison, M., Gan, S., Dubelaar, C., & Oppewal, H. (2011). In-store music and aroma influences on shopper behavior and satisfaction. *Journal of Business Research*, 64(6), 558-564. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2010.06.006
- 63. MSME-Development Institute. (2016). *Karnataka State Industrial Profile 2015–2016*. Retrieved from http://dcmsme.gov.in/dips/state_wise_dips/state_profile_karnatka_11316.pdf
- 64. Muratore, I. (2016). Teens as impulsive buyers: what is the role of price? *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management, 44*(11), 1166-1180. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-08-2015-0120
- NASSCOM. (2017). NASSCOM AT Kearney Reveal Karnataka IT Potential. Retrieved April 19, 2020 from Firstpost website: https:// www.firstpost.com/business/biztech/nasscom-at-kearney-revealkarnataka-it-potential-1866589. html
- 66. Nirushan, V. R., & Nirushan, K. (2017). Impact of In-Store Environment Perception on Impulse Purchasing Behaviour at Supermarkets in Trincomalee District. Amity Journal of Marketing, 1(2), 29-43. Retrieved from https://amity.edu/UserFiles/ admaa/ecbf8Paper%203.pdf
- Oliver, R. L., & Swan, J. E. (1989). Consumer Perceptions of Interpersonal Equity and Satisfaction in Transactions: A Field Survey Approach. *Journal of Marketing*, 53(2), 21-35. https://doi.org/10.2307/1251411
- 68. Pandey, J. M. (2018). *Not just women, men shop on impulse too:*Survey. Retrieved from Times of India website: https://timesofindia.

- indiatimes.com/india/not-just-women-men-shop-on-impulse-too-survey/articleshow/65190769. cms
- Parboteeah, D. V. (2005). A Model of Online Impulse Buying: An Empirical Study. Washington State University.
- Pornpitakpan, C., Yuan, Y., & Han, J. H. (2017). The effect of salespersons' retail service quality and consumers' mood on impulse buying. Australasian Marketing Journal, 25(1), 2-11. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ausmj.2016.12.003
- 71. Pradhan, V. (2016). Study on Impulsive Buying Behavior among Consumers in Supermarket in Kathmandu Valley. Journal of Business and Social Sciences Research, 1(2), 215-233. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327354814_Study_on_Impulsive_Buying_Behavior_among_Consumers_in_Supermarket_in_Kathmandu_Valley
- Prakash, G., Sahney, S., Kodati, S., & Shrivastava, A. (2017).
 Gender effects on impulse buying behavior. Emerald Emerging Markets Case Studies, 7(7), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1108/ EEMCS-05-2016-0075
- PTI. (2019, December 18). India's apparel market to reach USD 85 bn by 2021: Report. ET Retail.
 Com. Retrieved from https://retail. economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/apparel-fashion/apparel/indias-apparel-market-to-reach-usd-85-bn-by-2021-report/72862772
- Radder, L., & Huang, W.
 (2008). High-involvement and low-involvement products: A comparison of brand awareness among students at a South African university. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, 12, 232-243. https://doi.org/10.1108/13612020810874908
- Santos, E. B. A., & Freire, O. B.
 D. L. (2013). The Influence of
 Music on Consumer Behavior.
 Independent Journal of
 Management & Production, 4(2),
 537-548. https://doi.org/10.14807/
 ijmp.v4i2.111

- Schaie, K. W., & Heiss, R. (1964).
 Color and personality. Grune & Stratton.
- Schiffman, L. G., & Wisenblit, J. L. (2015). Consumer Behavior (11th ed.). Delhi: Pearson India Education Services.
- 78. Sharma, P., Sivakumaran, B., & Marshall, R. (2010). Impulse buying and variety seeking:
 A trait-correlates perspective.

 Journal of Business Research, 63(3), 276-283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jbusres.2009.03.013
- Sharma, P., Sivakumaran, B., & Marshall, R. (2014). Looking beyond impulse buying: A cross-cultural and multi-domain investigation of consumer impulsiveness. European Journal of Marketing, 48(5-6), 1159-1179. https://doi.org/10.1108/EJM-08-2011-0440
- 80. Singh, P., Katiyar, N., & Verma, G. (2014). Retail Shoppability: The Impact Of Store Atmospherics & Store Layout On Consumer Buying Patterns. International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research, 3(8), 15-23. Retrieved from https://www.ijstr.org/final-print/aug2014/Retail-Shoppability-The-Impact-Of-Store-Atmospherics-Store-Layout-On-Consumer-Buying-Patterns.pdf
- 81. Smith, W. (1989). Trends in retail lighting: An intelligent design approach. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 17(5), 30-32. https://doi.org/10.1108/eb018424
- 82. Stern, H. (1962). The Significance of Impulse Buying Today. *Journal of Marketing*, 26(2), 59-62.

 Retrieved from https://www.jstor.org/stable/1248439?seq=1
- 83. Streiner, D. L., Norman, G. R., & Cairney, J. (2015). Health Measurement Scales: A practical guide to their development and use (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- 84. Summers, T. A., & Hebert, P. R. (2001). Shedding some light on store atmospherics: Influence of illumination on consumer behavior. *Journal of Business Research*, 54(2), 145-150. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963(99)00082-X

- 85. Tulungen, C. E. (2013).

 Comparative Analysis of Impulse
 Buying based on Gender
 Differences. *Jurnal EMBA*,
 1(4), 1349-1357. Retrieved
 from https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/08c2/b6f8d7d5c1f61608394071a28366301d8c86.
 pdf
- 86. Turley, L. W., & Milliman, R. E. (2000). Atmospheric effects on shopping behavior: A review of the experimental evidence. *Journal of Business Research*, 49(2), 193-211. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963(99)00010-7
- 87. Ullal, M., & Hawaldar, I. T. (2018). Influence of advertisement on customers based on the AIDA model. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 16(4), 285-298. https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.16(4).2018.24
- 88. Ullal, M. S., Hawaldar, I. T., Mendon, S., & Joseph, N. (2020). The effect of artificial intelligence on the sales graph in the Indian market. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 7(4), 2940-2954. http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2020.7.4(23)

- 89. Underhill, P. (2009). Why We Buy: The Science of Shopping. Simon & Schuster. Retrieved from https:// www.amazon.com/Why-We-Buy-Shopping-Updated-Internet/ dp/1416595244
- 90. Virvilaite, R., Saladiene, V., & Bagdonaite, R. (2009). Peculiarities of impulsive purchasing in the market of consumer goods. *Engineering Economics*, 2(62), 101-109. Retrieved from https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/b179/9d d38944e48a697917a028fb9572f2c 7a697.pdf
- 91. Vrechopoulos, A. P., O'Keefe, R. M., Doukidis, G. I., & Siomkos, G. J. (2004). Virtual store layout: An experimental comparison in the context of grocery retail. *Journal of Retailing*, 80(1), 13-22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2004.01.006
- 92. Wallendorf, M., & Arnould, E. J. (1988). "My Favorite Things": A Cross-Cultural Inquiry into Object Attachment, Possessiveness, and Social Linkage. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 14(4), 531-547. https:// doi.org/10.1086/209134

- 93. Williams, L. E., & Bargh, J. A. (2008). Keeping one's distance: The influence of spatial distance cues on affect and evaluation. *Psychological Science*, *19*(3), 302-308. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02084.x
- 94. Yoo, C., Park, J., & MacInnis, D. J. (1998). Effects of Store Characteristics and In-Store Emotional Experiences on Store Attitude. *Journal of Business Research*, 42(3), 253-263. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963(97)00122-7
- 95. Yu, C., & Bastin, M. (2010). Hedonic shopping value and impulse buying behavior in transitional economies: A symbiosis in the Mainland China marketplace. *Journal of Brand Management*, 18(2), 105-114. https://doi.org/10.1057/bm.2010.32
- Zhou, L., & Wong, A. (2003).
 Consumer Impulse Buying and In-Store Stimuli in Chinese Supermarkets. *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, 16(2), 37-53. https://doi.org/10.1300/J046v16n02_03